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- What is a continuous VASS?
- Not defined in the literature
- Two possible definitions
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& \begin{array}{l:l:l}
(1,0,0, & 0,1) \\
\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0,\right. & 1,0) \\
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\xrightarrow{\frac{1}{2} t_{2}}
\end{array} \\
& \left(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1,2\right) \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{2} t_{3}} \\
& (0,0,1,1,2)
\end{aligned}
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## Our implementation

```
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    if \(n b s o l=0\) then return false else sol \(\leftarrow \frac{1}{n b s o l}\) sol
```


## Our implementation

```
\(T^{\prime} \leftarrow T\)
while \(T^{\prime} \neq \emptyset\) do
    nbsol \(\leftarrow 0\); sol \(\leftarrow \mathbf{0}\)
    for \(t \in T^{\prime}\) do
        solve \(\exists\) ? \(\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \geq \mathbf{0} \wedge \mathbf{v}[t]>0 \wedge \boldsymbol{C}_{P \times T^{\prime}} \mathbf{v}=\boldsymbol{m}-\boldsymbol{m}_{0}\)
        if \(\exists \mathbf{v}\) then \(n b s o l ~ \leftarrow n b s o l+1\); sol \(\leftarrow \mathrm{sol}+\mathbf{v}\)
    end
    if \(n b s o l=0\) then return false else sol \(\leftarrow \frac{1}{n b s o l}\) sol
```

- Fraca \& Haddad PN'13
- Reachability in $C P N \in P$
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## Current solution

QSopt-Exact: exact solver from
Exact solutions to linear programming problems David L. Applegate ${ }^{\text {a }}$ William Cook ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Sanjeeb Dash ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Daniel G. Espinoza ${ }^{\text {d,* }}$
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■ Floating-point solver + testing certificates (Farkas' lemma, reconstruct simplex tableaux in $\mathbb{Q}$ )

■ Reachability in CVASS with "unique states"?

- Any use for CVASS with "unique states"?
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- Next modules


## Thank you! Merci! Danke!

